

- [Controlling Dissent](#)
- [Divide & Conquer](#)
- [Murder & Genocide](#)
- [Organ Harvesting](#)
- [Pedophilia](#)
- [Plans & Operations](#)
- [Terrorism](#)



- [Home](#)
- [About](#)
- [Audio](#)
- [Video](#)
- [Jew Lists](#)
- [Protocols of Zion](#)
- [Promote](#)
- [Donate](#)
- [Contact](#)

Subscribe: [Posts](#) | [Comments](#) | [Email](#)

Search the site

[LIE of Anti-Semitism EXPOSED Jew Disinfo Team Mobilized](#)

NASA Releases “Definitive Proof” of Moon Landing

[20 comments](#)

Posted by Subverted Nation on 20Jul09 in [Crimes](#) | [20 comments](#)

I think I made it quite clear that I will never be convinced that man has ever set foot on the moon, so now let me blow the hole open a little wider. I wouldn't even usually think about writing on this topic, but it has come to my attention that there is a massive campaign to silence **anyone** who might be silly enough to claim that man never set foot on the moon. Of course, like all the other lies our people are sold, this one is embedded deep in the psyche of everyone around us. Indeed, it's an uphill battle to enlighten the sheeple, but I will continue to do my part, no matter what the cost. I do not fear negative opinions of myself, I do not fear the threats that accompany what I do, and I do not fear death; should it ever come to that. Instead, I welcome the chance to fight tooth and nail for what is true, what is just, and what is right for the people of the earth. Wherever I find resistance to truth, I will tear down the walls and let the light shine through.

Recently while arguing with some of the paid disinformation retards on youtube (more on this coming up soon), one of them made mention of the recent pictures released by NASA from the LRO or Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter, so naturally, I needed to take a look and see what this was all about. It turns out, that NASA's latest moon probe, which isn't being violently crashed into the surface, will instead orbit the moon and send back detailed photographs of the moon surface for mapping purposes, among other things. Unfortunately for NASA and all of their skills, at this point, their new photos are going to turn out to be more of an embarrassment than anything else.

While digging around for information about this latest folly, I stumbled across an article on Space.com just published July 17th, and this is what they have to say about the new photos (article [here](#)):

For stubborn folks who still believe the Apollo astronauts never landed on the moon, NASA has new images - definitive proof - that clearly show the Apollo 11 lander that carried the first astronauts to the lunar surface 40 years ago.


The images, taken by NASA's first lunar scout in more than a decade, the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO), show the Eagle lunar lander at Tranquility Base, where Apollo 11 astronauts Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin landed on July 20, 1969. They were snapped between July 11 and 15 of this month and released by NASA today.

"The LROC team anxiously awaited each image," said LROC principal investigator Mark Robinson of Arizona State University. "We were very interested in getting our first peek at the lunar module descent


stages just for the thrill — and to see how well the cameras had come into focus. Indeed, the images are fantastic and so is the focus.”

So, these images from NASA are supposed to be the “definitive proof” of the moon landing (hoax). What is so sad about this pathetic attempt to appease the masses, and reinforce their programming is as follows. First, almost EVERY article pertaining to these pictures across the internet has some sort of blatant attack on those who don’t believe in this hoax. Let me make it clear that “believing” in **anything** is for idiots, morons, ignoramus’ etc. Knowing or not knowing is for the rest of us. Second, this “definitive proof” is nothing more than a bunch of grainy, low resolution (despite their claims of fantastic images with excellent focus) images. Third, these images wreak of amateur photoshop tampering.

So, without further a due, here is the latest and greatest from the multi-billion dollar fraud known as NASA, in all their glory, as posted by Space.com:



This little spec has a massive photoshopped shadow. This is definitive proof that NASA is lying, err telling the truth, right?



This grainy photoshopped image is NASA's "definitive proof". You have to be a total moron to accept this fable.

Now, I don't know about you, but if I were trying to convince someone that man walked on the moon, and I had a multi-billion dollar budget like NASA, I would be sure to first capture better pictures, and second, make the full size images available to anyone and everyone; which Space.com failed to do, all the while claiming this to be the "definitive" answer. My own children could do a better job, and produce more convincing photos than this in under 10 minutes with photoshop. However, if you will head over to [this page](#) at NASA's own website, you can find clickable images that are much larger, one being much darker, and still fail to show anything of value. They damn sure don't show anything "definitive".

Next is [this article](#), which is even more demeaning to those who refuse to believe in fairy tales, with its title stating "Slaying Lunar Hoax Lunacy". The photo provided with the article has the caption "Apollo Landing Sites Imaged - DEAL WITH IT!!" Well now, that's enough to convince me! I give up NASA, you win! I am now totally convinced of the fake moon landing! Yeah right, who do they think they are kidding? I think this is a case of too many slope heads who are missing frontal lobes trying to convince a more intelligent species of their fables, and nothing more.



NASA denies that stars are visible from the surface of the moon with no atmosphere, even though they are visible from earth with it's thick atmosphere. Image courtesy of hollywood, I mean NASA.

What I find most interesting is that NASA and these news outlets actually feel they need to debunk non-believers. The truth is, if this were a real event, they wouldn't even need to entertain the thoughts of those who refuse to believe. I mean, if it's assumed to be real by the masses, why bother? When someone puts so much effort into convincing you that a lie is the truth, but fails to ever provide tangible proof; most intelligent people will be naturally inclined towards disbelief. People who speak the truth, and **know** what they are saying is fact, usually completely refuse to answer absurd accusations, and why should they? Facts are not something to debate. What's really happening is the hoax of the moon landing is failing as fast as the holohoax and all their other lies. Maybe later I'll delve into why NASA needs to continue propping up the moon landing fantasy. For now, check out the animosity in the second article:

Now, can the whole ignorance of the lunar hoax conspiracy be laid to rest? No. Even if all the 'hoaxers' were transported to the landing sites and had their mugs slammed into the side of the landers they would still deny what they are so divorced from - reality. These new images are only good for those sitting on the fence, the undecided. I am not entirely sure that the hoaxers even believe the nonsense they are spewing. If you do the research you will find every lead nutcase, Sibrel, Kaysing and Rene is pushing some book or movie. It is easy to

believe something did not happen when you are collecting royalties...

Does this sound like someone who is upholding credible facts, or does it sound like a typical liar attempting to attack the messengers, rather than refute their message? Why such a violent argument? Why would those who refuse to believe the lies spewed by NASA need their “mugs slammed into the sides of the landers”? Do these morons not realize that this type of argument only tends to substantially weaken their wobbly platform? The truth is, the images are NOT for the “undecided” fence sitters. These images are for the **brainwashed masses** who might stumble across material that deflates their whole ridiculous story. For those people, these images don't need to be clear, because the programming is already in place. They will already be inclined to believe what they are told, because they have always trusted what these propaganda outlets spew without question. In short, these images are a FAILED attempt at damage control, but again, I'll leave it up to the reader to decide whether this is “definitive proof” of a moon landing; or whether it's definitive proof that NASA is working overtime to keep this 40 year old lie alive. If you want my opinion, it is positively the latter. Just for shits and giggles, I see that Curt Maynard has been busy picking apart NASA's fables too, and he has an article [here](#) showing a satellite photo of a man next to truck as comparison for the shadows in these photos, so be sure to check it out too.

UPDATE:

[Apollo 11 Crew: Moon Less Interesting Than Mars](#)

Neil Armstrong spends only ELEVEN SECONDS talking about the moon. Buzzard Aldrin makes absolutely ZERO commentary on the moon, and instead, all the speakers at the convention mentioned in the article above, want Obama to push for manned exploration of Mars instead. Michael Collins, who lies about circling the moon while Armstrong and Aldrin pretended to be walking on it's surface, also wants the focus squarely on Mars. In the article, these astro-nots consider the moon an “old hat”, but we haven't been there for 40 years.

They downplay the significance of the moon, and insist NASA would be “bogged down” with trying to get to the moon again. If it was so easy on an ancient rocket with a total of 36KB of memory, why would NASA be “bogged down” doing it today? Astro-nots wouldn't even have to touch the controls. Computers could land a craft on the moon better than they could dream of doing it. Knowing that cabala is heavily intertwined with the moon landings (Apollo 13 was the Phoenix which “rose from the ashes” after supposed troubles on the “dark side of the moon”), it's rather funny to see that Aldrin mentions they landed on the moon 66 years after man's first flight, and would

like to see man land on mars 66 years after their faked landing on the moon.

Why is the moon an “old hat”, and if it is, why the hell are people packing auditoriums to hear these liars spew more dishonesty? Did people really want to hear about Mars from man’s first moon explorers, and why would they AVOID talking about the moon? Why would they want to steer conversation and all future efforts towards Mars? The answer is simple, because they never set foot on the moon, and anyone who even ATTEMPTS such a flight now would either die in the radiation of space or, if they actually made it to the moon, they would quickly realize that man had never been there before.

I have two words for NASA, Armstrong, Aldrin, and all the others: EPIC FAIL.

Support Subverted Nation
CLICK HERE

20 Responses to “NASA Releases “Definitive Proof” of Moon Landing”



1.

Micheal says:

[July 20, 2009 at 9:00 pm](#)

On the photo labeled “Apollo 11” showing the massive (by comparison) shadow associated with the LEM, it appears that the Sun, during the time that this photo was taken, is oriented to the East, at approximately 37 degrees or so. Therefore, the Shadow should be running in the opposite direction, to the West.

[reply](#)



2.

Subverted Nation says:

[July 21, 2009 at 2:27 pm](#)

If you’ll look at the Apollo 11 picture again, there is another anomaly near the center on the right hand edge, there is another “object” with a long shadow. Could this be ANOTHER LEM? They are almost identical.

[reply](#)